

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/626,452	Applicant(s) ZOLOTARSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1618	

All Participants:

(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

(2) William J. Davis.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2006

Time: 10:21 and 10:32

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1.3-4,7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with William J. Davis in regards to the status of application 10/626,452, a response was due on 11/19/2006, the examiner left a messege in regards to the status of the application. Mr. Davis expressed the desire of applicants to abandon this case in a message to the examiner at 10:32 AM.